



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/576,621	05/23/2000	Ryuji Ishiguro	SONY-T0608	2720
7590 11/08/2004 Oblon Spivak McClelland Maier & Neustadt 1755 Jefferson Davis Highway			EXAMINER  LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
Arlington, VA	22202		2131	
	<b>,</b>		DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/576,621	ISHIGURO ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	•
	Christian La Forgia	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final reject IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appr ount of the fee. The appr originally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) _ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.
NOTE:			٠.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicant's assertion that Yagawa fails to disclose calculation information which is updated upon the execution of a predetermined operation performed on the content data, the Examiner points to the Abstract. In the Abstract, and further throughout the Application, Yagawa discusses the number of times of distribution. This is further illustrated in figure 8, blocks 638, 639, and 640. Therefore, Yagawa discloses calculation information which is updated upon the execution of a predetermined operation on the content data (i.e. the updating of the number of times the digital content has been distributed).

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment filed 13 September 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: data from being tampered or copied in an unauthorized manner.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action..

AYAZ SHEIKH
'SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100